arXiv:1602.06166v1 [math.DS] 19 Feb 2016

Computing the entropy of one-dimensional decidable subshifts *

Silvère Gangloff† and Benjamin Hellouin de Menibus‡

February 22, 2016

Abstract

We characterise the difficulty of computing topological entropy of one-dimensional subshifts under various dynamical restrictions. Entropy is known to be computable from above for general one-dimensional subshifts, and the aim of the article is to distinguish under which dynamical properties it becomes computable. The considered properties are minimality, unique ergodicity, and various forms of topological mixing. In each case, we are also able to characterise by computability conditions the set of reals that can appear as topological entropy.

1 Introduction

Topological entropy is a real parameterwidely used in the study of dynamical systems as a conjugacy invariant and a measure of its dynamical complexity. The problem of effectively computing topological entropy – that is to say, given a description of a dynamical system and $\epsilon > 0$, can we compute its topological entropy with a maximum error of ϵ? – has been considered for many systems. These efforts, leading to positive as well as negative answers, have been documented by Milnor in 2002 [9].

Some of these answers are: entropy is not computable in general for cellular automata [5], Turing machines [1], iterated piecewise affine maps [7], smooth mappings in dimension ≥ 2 and smooth diffeomorphisms in dimension ≥ 3 [11]... However, entropy is computable in the class of one-tape Turing machines [6] and piecewise monotonic maps of the interval in some circumstances [10]. More examples can be found in [9].

This question has been studied in subshifts as well. In subshifts of finite type (SFT) in dimension 1, entropy is known to be computable through a simple method based on computing the largest eigenvalue of a graph associated with the subshift [8]. On the other hand, entropy of two-dimensional SFT is not computable in general [4], but it becomes computable under some mixing properties [12]. For a one-dimensional subshift that is not of finite type, but that can be described by an algorithm in some sense (**decidable** subshifts), the entropy is again uncomputable [16].

These uncomputability results can be made more precise by using the arithmetical hierarchy [17], which establishes a difficulty distinction between classes of uncomputable problems: for 2D

*The second author was supported by Basal PFB-03 CMM, Universidad de Chile

†Institut de Mathématiques de Marseille, Aix-Marseille University, 39, rue F. Joliot Curie, Marseille, France

‡Departamento de Matématicas, Universidad Andrés Bello, Republica 220, Santiago, Chile and Centro de Modelamiento Matematico, Beauchef 851, Santiago, Chile

SFT as well as 1D decidable subshifts, the entropy is Π_1-computable (this notion is also known as computable from above or right-recursively enumerable) and this bound cannot be lowered (Π_1-complete problem).

A related problem is to characterise the class of real numbers that can appear as entropies of the studied systems. For 1D SFT, this set is characterised by an algebraic condition: namely, it is exactly the logarithms of Perron numbers [8]. For 2D SFT and 1D decidable subshifts, in contrast, the set is characterised by a computability conditions: all real numbers that are Π_1-computable (i.e. computable from above) [4, 3]. Since the latter set contains reals that cannot be computed up to any precision, this result implies that the entropy is uncomputable.

In this article, we consider the effect of various dynamical restrictions of the difficulty of computing entropy and on the set of possible entropies for 1D decidable subshifts. When the subshifts are assumed to be minimal and/or uniquely ergodic, we show by adapting an existing result [2] that this difficulty remains unchanged. Using an original method, we prove that this is the case as well when the subshifts are assumed to be linearly mixing. In these two cases, we further shows that the set of possible entropies is, again, the set of Π_1-computable real numbers. However, the entropy becomes computable when the rate of mixing is strenghtened, namely, if subshifts are assumed to be $O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n)}\right)$-mixing for any $\varepsilon > 0$. This shows the existence of a "difficulty jump" depending on the rate of topological mixing.

These past and new results are summed up in the following table.

Subshift class	General case	Minimal and/or Uniq. ergodic	Mixing properties	
1D SFT	computable logarithms of Perron numbers [8]	0 0	computable logarithms of Perron numbers [8]	
2D SFT	Π_1-computable Π_1 reals [4]	0 0	block-gluing exptime [12] exptime [12]	str. irreducible computable [4] ?
1D Decidable	Π_1-computable [15] Π_1 reals [3]	Π_1-comp. † Π_1 reals [2] †	$O(n)$-mixing Π_1-comp. † Π_1 reals †	$O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n)}\right)$-mix. computable † ?
1D Effective	Π_1-computable [15] Π_1 reals [3]	Π_1-comp. † Π_1 reals [2] †	$O(n)$-mixing Π_1-comp. † Π_1 reals †	$O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n)}\right)$-mix. ? ?

Table 1: (First line) Computational difficulty of computing the entropy; (Second line) Set of possible entropies. "Π_1 reals" stands for the set of Π_1-computable reals; "exptime" stands for the set of reals such that any ε-approximation can be computed in time at most $2^{O(\varepsilon^{-2})}$; † symbols indicate the contribution of the present article.

Our proofs consist in two steps. First, by providing an explicit algorithm, we provide an upper bound on the difficulty of computing the entropy, i.e. that cannot be higher than a certain class in the arithmetic hierarchy. Then, to provide a corresponding lower bound (when appropriate), we show that the entropy can take as value a real number that is not easily approximable.

Formally, our two new results are the following:

Theorem 1 (Proposition 1 and Proposition 3)**.** There exists no algorithm that computes the entropy of minimal, resp. uniquely ergodic, decidable subshifts. Furthermore possible entropies for these subshifts are exactly the Π_1-computable numbers.

Theorem 2 (Proposition 6 and Proposition 7)**.** There exists no algorithm that computes the entropy of decidable $O(n)$-topologically mixing subshifts, and possible entropies for these subshifts are the Π_1-computable numbers.

However, for any $\alpha > 0$, there exists an algorithm that computes the entropy of decidable $O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{1+\alpha}}\right)$-topologically mixing decidable subshifts.

2 Definitions

2.1 Subshifts

Let $\mathcal{A}$ be a finite alphabet. Denote $\mathcal{A}^* = \bigcup_n \mathcal{A}^n$ the set of finite *words* on $\mathcal{A}$, and the *full shift* $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. For a finite word $u \in \mathcal{A}^n$, its *length* is denoted $|u| = n$. The *shift* action $\sigma : \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} \to \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by $\sigma(x)_i = x_{i+1}$ for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For a finite word u and a position $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, define the cylinder $[u]_i = \{x \in \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}} : (x_{i+k})_{0 \leq k \leq |u|-1} = u\}$.

A *subshift* Σ is any closed σ-invariant subset of $\mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. The *language* $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ of a the subshift is defined as follows :

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N}\ ,\quad \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma) = \{u \in \mathcal{A}^n : \exists x \in \Sigma, x \in [u]_0\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{L}(\Sigma) = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma).$$

Notice that since Σ is σ-invariant, the choice of the position 0 in the definition is indifferent.

Equivalently, a subshift can be defined by a set of forbidden words $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma$, in the sense that:

$$x \in \Sigma \Leftrightarrow \forall n \in \mathbb{N}, \forall u \in \mathcal{F}_\Sigma,\ \Sigma \cap [u]_n = \emptyset.$$

For example, one can choose $\mathcal{F}_\Sigma = \mathcal{L}(\Sigma)^c$, but this choice is not unique. This let us define the *subshifts of finite type*, which are the subshifts which forbidden words set can be taken finite.

2.2 Entropy

Let $\Sigma \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ be a subshift. We can think of (Σ, σ) as a dynamical system; the usual definition of entropy can be simplified for this particular case. For any $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $N_n(\Sigma) = \#\mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma)$. Then the (topological) entropy of Σ (with respect to the action of σ) can be defined as:

$$h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) = \lim_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(N_n(\Sigma))}{n} = \inf_{n \to \infty} \frac{\log(N_n(\Sigma))}{n}.$$

The limit always exists because of the submultiplicativity of the sequence $(N_n(\Sigma))_n$, that is, $N_{n+m}(\Sigma) \leq N_n(\Sigma) \times N_m(\Sigma)$ for all n and m.

Topological entropy is a measure of the dynamical complexity of a dynamical system, and the difficulty to predict its future behaviour. It is also a conjugacy invariant,

2.3 Dynamical properties

We introduce various dynamical properties of subshifts. A subshift Σ is said to be:

minimal if there exists no subshift $\Sigma' \subset \Sigma$ except $\emptyset$ and Σ itself;

uniquely ergodic if it admits a unique σ-invariant probability measure (that is, a probability measure μ on Σ such that for any borelian $U \subset \mathcal{A}^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\mu(\sigma^{-1}(U)) = \mu(U)$)

And for a function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ we will say that the subshift is

f-topologically mixing , or f-mixing for short, if:

$$\forall u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma),\ \exists k \leq f(|u| + |v|),\ \forall K \geq k,\ [u]_0 \cap [v]_{|u|+K} \cap \Sigma \neq \emptyset.$$

For a sequence $(u_n)_n$ of positive real numbers, we say that Σ is $O(u_n)$-mixing if there exists $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ with $f(n) = O(u_n)$ such that Σ is f-mixing. In particular Σ is:

linearly mixing if it is $O(n)$-mixing;

finitely mixing if it is $O(1)$-mixing.

2.4 Computability

In order to define a notion of computability on such objects as subshifts or real numbers, we first choose a model of computation to formalize the concept of algorithm: *Turing machines*.

Turing machines can be seen as a head with an internal state and biinfinite tape containing symbols, with the head able to move, read and write on the tape and change its internal state depending on what it reads. The input corresponds to the initial content of the tape, and the output to the contents of the tape once the machine enters a special internal state called *halting state* and stops computing. A formal definition of Turing machines can be found in [14].

Intuitively, an object is computable (or effective, or decidable) if it can be described by a Turing machine in a certain sense. We provide formal definition for subshifts and real numbers, as needed in this article.

Subshifts: Let $\mathcal{A}$ be an alphabet. We say a langage $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{A}^*$ is decidable if there exists a Turing machine $M_{\mathcal{L}}$ that, given as input a finite word $u \in \mathcal{A}^*$, eventually stops and returns $\delta_{u \in \mathcal{L}}$ (1 if $u \in \mathcal{L}$, 0 otherwise). From this notion we define:

- A *decidable subshift* is a subshift which language is decidable;
- An *effective subshift* is a subshift defined by a decidable set of forbidden patterns.

A decidable subshift Σ is also effective (since $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)^c$ is decidable) but the other inclusion does not hold.

Real numbers: A function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ is said to be *computable* if there exists a Turing machine that, taking as input the binary representation of $n \in \mathbb{N}$, eventually stops and returns $f(n)$ (given as two integers in binary). A real number α is *computable* if there exists a computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that:

$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ |\alpha - f(n)| \leq 2^{-n}.$$

It is Π_1-computable (or computable from above, or right-recursively enumerable), denoted $\alpha \in \Pi_1$, if there exists a computable function $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{Q}$ such that:

$$\alpha = \inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} f(n).$$

In both of those definitions we can assume that f is non-increasing without loss of generality by taking $f'(n) = \inf_{k \leq n} f(k)$. Computable numbers are in particular Π_1-computable and the inclusion is strict: there exists Π_1-computable real numbers that is not computable : consider for instance the number $\sum_{k=0}^{+\infty} \epsilon_k 2^{-k}$, with $\epsilon_k = 0$ if the kth Turing machine stops, else $\epsilon_k = 1$. The class of Π_1-computable real numbers is the lowest level of the *arithmetical hierarchy* of real numbers.

3 Computing the entropy of effective and decidable subshifts

In this section, we bound from above the algorithmic difficulty of computing the entropy of a decidable subshift, given a description of it as input. However an algorithm (a Turing machine) accepts only a finite amount of information as input, and a subshift does not have in general a convenient finite description. When the subshift is effective, however, we can use the algorithm that decides a set of forbidden patterns as a finite description. Similarly, for a decidable subshift, the algorithm that decides its language is a convenient description as well, and it is actually indifferent which description we chose in this case.

Proposition 1. There exists an algorithm that approximates the entropy of effective subshifts from above; more precisely, this algorithm takes as input an algorithm $Alg : \mathcal{A}^* \to \{0, 1\}$ deciding a set $\mathcal{F}$ and an integer n, and it returns a rational number $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ such that

$$\inf_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \frac{p_n}{q_n} = h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}),$$

In particular, the entropy of an effective subshift must be a Π_1-computable real number.

Proof. Let $\mathcal{F}_n = \mathcal{F} \cap \mathcal{A}^n$. The entropy is given by the following equation:

$$h(\Sigma) = \inf_m \frac{\log(N_m(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}))}{m} = \inf_n \inf_m \frac{\log(N_m(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}))}{m} = \inf_n \frac{\log(N_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}))}{n}.$$

The first equality comes directely from the definition. The second equality comes from the fact that, on one hand, $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}} \subset \Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ and therefore $N_m(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}) \geq N_m(\Sigma)$; on the other hand, $N_m(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}) = N_m(\Sigma)$ for n large enough. Indeed, if there exists $w \in \mathcal{L}_m(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n})$ for all n, then $w \in \mathcal{L}_m(\Sigma)$ by compacity.

Now we show that there is an algorithm that, taking n and Alg as input, eventually stops and returns $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ where $q_n = n$ and $p_n = \lceil \log(N_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n})) \rceil \pm 1$. First, this algorithm runs Alg on all words of length n and computes $\mathcal{F}_n$. Then, since $\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}$ is a SFT, it computes through standard techniques words in $\mathcal{L}_m(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n})$, and the value of $N_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n})$. Last, it computes an integer approximation of $\log(N_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}))$ with an error at most 1 by using the Taylor decomposition of log.

Since $h(\Sigma) = \inf_n \frac{\log(N_n(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}_n}))}{n} = \inf_n \frac{p_n}{q_n}$, this algorithm computes the value of $h(\Sigma)$ from above as requested. □

This result is tight in the following sense:

Proposition 2. Let α be a Π_1-computable number. Then there exists a decidable (and therefore effective) subshift Σ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) = \alpha$. In particular, it follows that there cannot exist an algorithm that computes the entropy of effective subshifts, i.e. an algorithm that takes as input an algorithm $Alg : \mathcal{A}^* \to \{0,1\}$ and an integer n and returns a rational number $\frac{p_n}{q_n}$ such that

$$\left| \frac{p_n}{q_n} - h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma_{\mathcal{F}}) \right| \leq 2^{-n},$$

where $\mathcal{F}$ is the set decided by Alg.

Indeed, if such an algorithm existed, entropies of effective subshifts would always be computable real numbers, which is in contradiction with the previous proposition.

We do not provide a proof of this last Proposition as it follows from a further result (Proposition 3). These results describe completely the algorithmic difficulty of computing the entropy of effective (or decidable) subshifts, and characterise the possible values that the entropy can take. In the following sections, we provide similar results when the subshifts are subjects to various dynamical restrictions.

4 Computing the entropy of minimal and uniquely ergodic subshifts

In this section, we prove that entropy is as difficult to compute for decidable minimal and/or uniquely ergodic subshifts as in the general case. By Proposition 1, we know that computing the entropy of a decidable minimal and/or uniquely ergodic subshift is at most a Π_1-computable problem. We now prove the reciprocal, from which Proposition 2 follows.

Proposition 3. Let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a Π_1-computable real. There exists a decidable minimal and uniquely ergodic subshift Σ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) = \alpha$.

The proof of this proposition is an adaptation of the proof of Grillenberger in [2]. We omit some technical details that are unmodified from the original proof.

Proof. First we define by induction two auxiliary sequences of functions used in the construction.

$$\begin{array}{lcl} m_j & : & \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N} \\ m_1(k) & = & 1 \\ m_{j+1}(k) & = & m_j(k) e^{m_j(k)\lambda_j(k)} \end{array} \quad \text{and} \quad \begin{array}{lcl} \lambda_j & : & \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{R}_+ \\ e^{m_1(k)\lambda_1(k)} & = & k \\ e^{m_{j+1}(k)\lambda_{j+1}(k)} & = & (e^{m_j(k)\lambda_j(k)})! \end{array} .$$

From op.cit. we know that (λ_j) is a decreasing sequence that converges pointwise to a function λ such that $\lambda(k) > 0$ for $k \geq 3$, and such that $\lim_k(\log(k) - \lambda(k)) = 1$.
Now let $\alpha \geq 0$ be a Π_1-computable real number, and $(\alpha_i)_{i\geq 1}$ a decreasing computable sequence of rational numbers that converges towards α.
Take $\mathcal{A} = \{0, \dots, 3^n - 1\}$. We construct a subshift by building an increasing sequence of finite languages (in the inclusion sense) as follows.
First fix $j_0 = \min\{j \in \mathbb{N} \mid j \geq 2, \lambda_j(3^n) \geq \alpha_1 + \frac{2}{m_j(3^n)}\}$. This number exists because $\lambda_j(3^n) \underset{j\to\infty}{\to} \infty$. Now we define by induction a family $(D_j)_{j\geq 1}$ of sets of patterns:

$$\begin{array}{ll} & D_1 = \mathcal{A}, \\ \text{for } j < j_0, & D_j = \{Q_{\sigma(1)} \dots Q_{\sigma(|D_{j-1}|)} \mid \sigma \text{ permutation of } D_{j-1}\}, \\ \text{for } j \geq j_0, & D_j \subset \{Q_{\sigma(1)} \dots Q_{\sigma(|D_{j-1}|)} \mid \sigma \text{ permutation of } D_{j-1}\}, \end{array} \quad (1)$$

where the choice of the subset D_j in the last step is described below. First notice that for $j < j_0$, D_j contains $e^{m_j(3^n)\lambda_j(3^n)}$ patterns of the same length m_j. For $j \geq j_0$, the choice of D_j must respect the two following constraints:

1. $m_j(3^n)\alpha_j + 2 \leq \log(|D_j|) < m_j(3^n)\alpha_j + 3$
2. D_j must contain three elements L_j, R_j and T_j such that:
   - L_j is the smallest left-extension of L_{j-1} in lexicographic order;
   - R_j is the smallest right-extension of R_{j-1};
   - T_j is the smallest extension of $L_{j-1}R_{j-1}$.

Condition 1 is coherent with Equation (1) since D_j is chosen from a set containing $D_{j-1}!$ elements, and:

$$\begin{aligned}\log(|D_{j-1}|!) &\geq |D_{j-1}|(\log(|D_{j-1}|) - 1)\\ &\geq |D_{j-1}|(m_{j-1}(3^n)\alpha_{j-1}(3^n) + 1)\\ &> m_j(3^n)\alpha_{j-1}(3^n) + 2\\ &> m_j(3^n)\alpha_j(3^n) + 2.\end{aligned}$$

Furthermore, since $m_j(3^n)\alpha_j(3^n)+2 \geq 3$, Conditions 1 and 2 are not in contradiction. From this process we define a subshift Σ by forbidding the patterns that appear in none of the $(T_j)_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$.

Σ has decidable language : We first prove that there exists an algorithm that takes as input an integer $j \in \mathbb{N}$ and that returns a enumeration of all words of D_j (strictly speaking, one possible choice for D_j), starting with L_j, R_j and T_j. If $j = 1$, the algorithm simply enumerates $\mathcal{A}$. Otherwise, it calls itself recursively on $j - 1$ and computes all words of the set $\{Q_{\sigma(1)} \dots Q_{\sigma(|D_{j-1}|)} \mid \sigma \text{ permutation of } D_{j-1}\}$. If $j < j_0$, this is the output; otherwise, it searches exhaustively in that set for the smallest extensions of L_{j-1}, R_{j-1} and T_{j-1} as per Condition 2 and outputs them, then computes the value of $m_j(3^n)\alpha_j$ and outputs the smallest remaining elements of the set until Condition 1 is satisfied.

Now notice that for all $m \geq 1$, if we take j the smallest integer such that $m_j(3^n) \geq m$, a word belongs to $\mathcal{L}_m(\Sigma)$ if and only if it is a concatenation of:

- a suffix of length $m - k$ of a word in D_j, and
- a prefix of length k of a word in D_j (possibly the same).

for some k between 0 and m.

Now define the algorithm that, taking as input a word w of length m, computes values of $m_j(3^n)$ until it finds a j such that $m_j(3^n) \geq m$. It then calls the algorithm defined above on input j and gets an enumeration of D_j. Finally, it checks if w can be written as a concatenation in the way we just defined, and outputs 0 or 1 as appropriate. Therefore the language of Σ is decidable.

The proof that Σ is minimal, uniquely ergodic and has topological entropy α can be found in [2]. □

Therefore it is just as hard to compute entropy for a minimal and/or uniquely ergodic decidable subshift than in the general case, and the set of possible entropies is the same.

4.1 Computing the entropy of topologically mixing subshifts

Using the same approach, we now consider subshifts with topological mixing properties. Again Proposition 1 applies, meaning that there is algorithm that computes the entropy of any effective subshift from above. For the class of $O(n)$-topologically mixing subshifts, we prove that all Π_1-computable numbers are, again, realisable as entropies, and therefore there is no algorithm that computes the entropy of these subshifts. However, if one strenghten the hypothesis to $O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n)}\right)$-topologically mixing subshifts for any $\varepsilon > 0$, then there is an algorithm that computes the entropy of these subshifts.

Definition 3 (Frequency subshift)**.** Let $p = (p_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of integers. The subshift $\Sigma_p \subset \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is defined by the following set of forbidden words:

$$\mathcal{F}_n^p = \{u \in A^n \ : \ \#\{0 \leq i \leq n-1 \ : \ u_i = 1\} > p_n\} \qquad \text{and} \qquad \mathcal{F}^p = \bigcup_n \mathcal{F}_n^p.$$

Notice that if $p_n \leq p_{n-1}$, then the set $\mathcal{F}_{n-1}^p$ can be removed from the definition without affecting Σ_p; in particular, we can assume $p_n - p_{n-1} = 0$ without loss of generality. Conversely, if $p_n > p_{n-1}$, then $\mathcal{F}_n^p$ can be removed from the definition without affecting Σ_p, and we can assume $p_n - p_{n-1} = 1$ without loss of generality.

Example 4. The frequency subshift defined by the sequence $(p_n) = (1, 1, 2, 3, 4, ...)$ is the Golden mean subshift (the SFT defined by the set of forbidden words $\mathcal{F} = \{11\}$). The entropy of this subshift is given by $\log \varphi$, where $\varphi = \frac{1+\sqrt{5}}{2}$ is the golden mean. Equivalently, this subshift can be defined by the sequence $(1, 1, 2, 2, 3, 3, 4, 4, ...)$. This shows that different sequences of integers can correspond to the same frequency subshift, even when $p_n - p_{n-1} \in \{0, 1\}$ for all n.

For $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define Σ_n the frequency subshift associated to the sequence p defined as follows:

- if the n-th Turing machine working on an empty input stopped before doing k steps, then $p_k = p_{k-1}$;
- otherwise, $p_k = p_{k-1} + 1$.

If the n-th Turing machine never stops on the empty input, then $p_n = n$ for all n and $\Sigma_n = \{0,1\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$ the full shift, with entropy $\log 2$. On the other hand, if the n-th Turing machine stops in k steps, then p_n is ultimately constant and Σ_n is a sofic subshift with entropy zero. Since these subshifts are decidable (see Proposition 4), this is an alternative proof by reduction to the halting problem that the entropy of decidable subshifts is uncomputable.

Proposition 4. 1. If p is nondecreasing and $\inf_n \frac{p_n}{n} > 0$, then Σ_p is linearly mixing.

2. If p is nondecreasing and computable, then Σ_p is decidable.

Proof. (Proof of 1.) Let us denote $\alpha = \inf_n \frac{p_n}{n}$. Since p is nondecreasing, adding zeroes at the end or beginning of a word of $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ cannot create a forbidden pattern. Take $u, v \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_p)$. We prove that the word $w = u \cdot 0^k \cdot v$ for any $k \geq \frac{1}{\alpha}(|u| + |v|)$, belongs to $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_p)$, which proves that Σ_p is linearly mixing.

First we prove that w does not contain any forbidden pattern. For some $w' \sqsubset w$, we distinguish three cases:

- $w' = u'0^{k'}$ where u' is a suffix of u and $k' \leq k$: since u' is a suffix of a word of $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma_p)$, $u' \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_p)$, and therefore $u'0^{k'} \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_p)$.

- $w' = 0^{k'}v'$ where v' is a prefix of v and $k' \leq k$: symmetrical to the previous case.
- $w' = u'0^k v'$ where u' is a suffix of u, v' is a prefix of v: w' contains less than $p_{|u'|} + p_{|v'|}$ symbols 1, and:

$$p_{|u'|} + p_{|v'|} \leq |u'| + |v'| \leq \frac{|u'| + |v'|}{|u'| + |v'| + k} \cdot |w'| \leq \alpha |w'| \leq p_{|w'|},$$

To conclude, we can always add zeroes to w without creating a forbidden pattern, so $0^\infty w 0^\infty \in \Sigma_p$ and $w \in \mathcal{L}(\Sigma_p)$.

(Proof of 2.) The same argument shows that the language of this subshift is decidable: a word u belongs to the language of Σ if, and only if, it contains a forbidden word (and forbidden words of length at most $|u|$ are in finite number). □

We extend the previous definition to a finite sequence $p = (p_n)_{n \leq N}$ by defining tacitly $p_n = p_{n-1} + 1$ for $n > N$.

Proposition 5. Let $p = (p_n)_{n<N}$ be a finite sequence of integers. Define $p' = (p'_n)_{n \leq N}$ by $p'_N = p_{N-1}$, $p'_n = p_n$ otherwise. Then:

$$h_{top}(\Sigma_{p'}) \leq h_{top}(\Sigma_p) \leq h_{top}(\Sigma_{p'}) + \frac{\log N}{N}.$$

Proof. Let $f_N : \mathcal{A}^* \to \mathcal{A}^*$ be the function defined as follows. For $w \in \mathcal{A}^k$, let $i_1 \dots i_{\frac{k}{N}}$ be defined as $i_\ell = \min\{i \ : \ N \cdot \ell \leq i \leq N(\ell+1) \ : \ w_i = 1\}$. Then fix w' by $w'_{i_n} = 0$ for all n and $w'_n = w_n$ otherwise. We prove that $f_N(\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)) \subset \mathcal{L}(\Sigma')$. Take $w \in \mathcal{L}_k(\Sigma)$. Since f_N only turns ones into zeroes, we have obviously $f_N(w) \in \mathcal{L}_k(\Sigma)$. We only have to check that for any $1 \leq i \leq |w|$, $\#_1 f_N(w)_{i,\dots,i+N} \leq p_N$. Let ℓ be such that $i \leq \ell \cdot N \leq i + N$. We distinguish two cases:

1. $\exists j \in \{\ell \cdot N, \dots, i + N\}$, $w_j = 1$. By definition of f_N, we have $\#_1 f_N(w)_{i,\dots,i+N} \leq \#_1 w_{i,\dots,i+N} - 1 \leq p_{N+1} - 1 = p_N$.
2. otherwise, we have in particular $w_{i+N} = 0$, so $\#_1 f_N(w)_{i,\dots,i+N} = \#_1 w_{i,\dots,i+N} = \#_1 w_{i,\dots,i+N-1} \leq p_N$.

Therefore $f_N(\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)) \subset \mathcal{L}(\Sigma')$. Since there is N possible choices for each i_n, f_N is at most $N^{k/N}$-to-one and $f_N(\mathcal{L}_k(\Sigma_p)) \subset \mathcal{L}_k(\Sigma_{p'})$. We deduce that $C_k(\Sigma_{p'}) \geq N^{k/N} C_k(\Sigma_{p'})$ and the result follows. □

We now prove the announced results:

Proposition 6. Let α be a Π_1-computable real number. Then there exists a decidable $O(n)$-topologically mixing subshift Σ such that $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) = \alpha$.

Proof. Let $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ be a Π_1^0-computable real. We now define our algorithm that, given n, outputs the n first elements of p.

- If $n = 1$, then $p_1 = 1$.
- If $n > 1$, call recursively the algorithm on $n - 1$ to obtain the value of $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}$ and compute the value of α_n. Now check whether the entropy of the subshift associated with $p_1, \dots, p_{n-1}, p_{n-1}$ is larger than α_n. If it is, return $p_n = p_{n-1}$; otherwise, return $p_n = p_{n-1} + 1$.

Denote $P_n = (p_i)_{i \leq n}$ and $h_n = h_{top}(\Sigma_{P_n})$. The sequence $(h_n)_n$ is decreasing and admits a limit h_∞. By construction, for any n, $h_n \geq \alpha_n \geq \alpha$ so $h_\infty \geq \alpha$. Assume $h_\infty > \alpha$ and fix N large enough that $h_\infty - \alpha_n \geq \frac{\log n}{n}$ for all $n \geq N$. In particular $h_n - \alpha_n \geq \frac{\log n}{n}$ for all $n \geq N$. By the previous proposition, this implies that at each time $n \geq N$, the algorithm should choose $p_{n+1} = p_n$ by construction. But this would imply that $h_\infty = 0$, a contradiction.

Furthermore, since $\Sigma_p \subset \Sigma_{P_n}$ for every n, we have $h_{top}(\Sigma_p) \leq h_n$. Since entropy is upper semi-continuous, it follows that $h_{top}(\Sigma_p) = h_\infty = \alpha$.

To conclude, we show that $\inf_n \frac{p_n}{n} > 0$. Through the Stirling formula, we have $\inf_n \frac{\log N_n}{n} \leq \inf_n \frac{\log \sum_{k=0}^{p_n} \binom{k}{p_n}}{n}$ and $\sum_{k=0}^{p_n} \binom{k}{p_n} \leq 2^{nH(\frac{p_n}{n})}$ where $H(\varepsilon) = \varepsilon \log(\varepsilon) - (1-\varepsilon)\log(1-\varepsilon)$ is the so-called *binary entropy* (this is a standard argument found e.g. in [13]). Therefore $h_{top}(\Sigma) \leq \inf H(\frac{p_n}{n})$, so that if $\inf_n \frac{p_n}{n} = 0$, we would have in particular $h_{top}(\Sigma)$ which is a contradiction. □

Therefore once again there cannot exist an algorithm that computes the entropy of all subshifts in those classes. On the other hand, strengthening the hypothesis yields such an algorithm:

Proposition 7. Let Σ be a decidable f-topologically mixing subshift, where f is such that $\sum_k \frac{f(2^k)}{2^k}$ converges with a computable speed. In other words, assume f is such that there exists an algorithm which taking as input a natural number t, outputs an integer $n(t)$ such that $\sum_{k \geq n(t)} \frac{f(2^k)}{2^k} \leq 2^{-t}$. Then the entropy of Σ is computable.

Proof. By topological mixing, for any two words $u, v \in \mathcal{L}_n(\Sigma)$, there exists a word $w \in \mathcal{A}^{f(2n)}$ with $k \leq f(2n)$ such that $uwv \in \mathcal{L}_{2n+f(2n)}(\Sigma)$. Therefore:

$$N_{2n+f(2n)} \geq N_n^2$$
$$\frac{\log(N_{2n+f(2n)})}{2n} \geq \frac{\log(N_n)}{n}$$

Since the sequence $(N_n)_n$ is increasing and by submultiplicativity, we have

$$\frac{\log(N_{2n})}{2n} + \frac{\log(N_{f(2n)})}{2n} \geq \frac{\log(N_{2n+f(2n)})}{2n} \geq \frac{\log(N_n)}{n}$$

Using that $N_k \leq 2^k$ for every k, and denoting $h_n = \log(N_n)/n$,

$$h_n \leq h_{2n} + \log(2)\frac{f(2n)}{2n}.$$

Since the previous statement is true from any n, we apply it inductively. Using the fact that $h_n \to h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$,

$$\forall n, i \in \mathbb{N},\ h_{2^n} \leq h_{2^{n+i}} + \log(2) \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+i} \frac{f(2^k)}{2^k}$$
$$\forall n \in \mathbb{N},\ h_{2^n} \leq h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) + \log(2) \sum_{k=n+1}^{\infty} \frac{f(2^k)}{2^k}.$$

Since (h_{2^n}) converges towards $h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma)$ from above, we have $|h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) - h_{2^n}| \leq \log(2) \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{f(2^k)}{2^{k+1}}$. By hypothesis, we can extract a subsequence $t \to n(t)$ such that $|h_{\text{top}}(\Sigma) - h_{2^{n(t)}}| \leq 2^{-t-1}$.

Finally, using again the Taylor decomposition of the logarithm function, for all t we can compute r_t rational such that $|r_t - h_{2^{n(t)}}| \leq 2^{-t-1}$, which means that $|r_t - h| \leq 2^{-t}$.

The algorithm to compute r_t given t is as follows: first compute $n(t)$, then count all words of length $2^{n(t)}$ in $\mathcal{L}(\Sigma)$ (Σ being decidable), compute $N_{2^{n(t)}}$, and then compute a 2^{-t-1}-approximation of $h_{2^{n(t)}}$.

We conclude that the entropy $h_{\mathrm{top}}(\Sigma)$ is computable. □

Corollary 5. For any $\varepsilon > 0$, there exists an algorithm that computes the entropy of $O(n/(\log(n))^{1+\epsilon})$-topologically mixing subshifts. In particular, the entropy of any subshift of this class is a computable real number.

Proof. Let $\varepsilon > 0$ and $f : \mathbb{N} \to \mathbb{N}$ be a $O(n/(\log(n))^{1+\epsilon})$ function. We assume without loss of generality that ε is rational, by taking it smaller if necessary. We prove that the convergence speed of the series $\sum_k \frac{f(2^k)}{2^k} = \sum_k \frac{1}{k^{1+\epsilon}}$ is computable. We have:

$$\forall n \geq 2, \quad \sum_{k \geq n} \frac{1}{k^{1+\epsilon}} \leq \int_{n-1}^{\infty} \frac{dt}{t^{1+\epsilon}} = \frac{1}{\epsilon(n-1)^{\epsilon}}$$

For $t \geq 0$, we can choose $n(t) = \lceil ((2^t/\epsilon)^{1/\epsilon}) + 1 \rceil$, which is computable from t. □

5 Conclusion

Our main result is the proof of a gap in the difficulty of computing entropy of decidable subshifts between different rates of topological mixing, namely, linear mixing and "sublinear enough" mixing. However, some questions are left open:

- The case of $O\left(\frac{n}{\log n}\right)$-mixing decidable subshifts, for example, lies is the middle of the gap and is still undecided.
- We do not have a complete characterisation of real numbers that can be reached as entropies of $O\left(\frac{n}{\log^{1+\varepsilon}(n)}\right)$-mixing subshifts (or lower). We conjecture that all computable real numbers can be reached in this way.
- We could not prove that the entropy of effective – not decidable – subshifts is still uncomputable even with a strong mixing rate. For example we do not have an example of such a subshift whose entropy has an uncomputable value.
- We do not understand the effect of transitivity – a weaker property than topological mixing – on the difficulty of computing entropy. As an example, does there exist a $O(1)$-transitive decidable subshift with uncomputable entropy?
- Finally, the case of two-dimensional decidable or effective subshifts remains open.

References

[1] Jean-Charles Delvenne and Vincent D Blondel. Quasi-periodic configurations and undecidable dynamics for tilings, infinite words and turing machines. *Theoretical Computer Science*, 319(1):127–143, 2004.

[2] Christian Grillenberger. Constructions of strictly ergodic systems. *Zeitschrift für Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verwandte Gebiete*, 25(4):335–342, 1973.

[3] Peter Hertling and Christoph Spandl. Shifts with decidable language and non-computable entropy. *Discrete Mathematics and Theoretical Computer Science*, 10(3), 2008.

[4] Michael Hochman and Tom Meyerovitch. A characterization of the entropies of multidimensional shifts of finite type. *Annals of Mathematics*, pages 2011–2038, 2010.

[5] Lyman P. Hurd, Jarkko Kari, and Karel Culik. The topological entropy of cellular automata is uncomputable. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 12:255–265, 6 1992.

[6] Emmanuel Jeandel. Computability of the entropy of one-tape turing machines. *CoRR*, abs/1302.1170, 2013.

[7] Pascal Koiran. The topological entropy of iterated piecewise affine maps is uncomputable. *Discrete Mathematics & Theoretical Computer Science*, 4(2):351–356, 2001.

[8] Douglas A. Lind. The entropies of topological markov shifts and a related class of algebraic integers. *Ergodic Theory and Dynamical Systems*, 4:283–300, 6 1984.

[9] John Milnor. Is the entropy effectively computable? Unpublished note, http://www.math.stonybrook.edu/ jack/comp-ent.pdf.

[10] John Milnor and Charles Tresser. On entropy and monotonicity for real cubic maps. *Communications in Mathematical Physics*, 209(1):123–178, 2000.

[11] Michal Misiurewicz. On non-continuity of topological entropy. *Bull. Ac. Pol. Sci. Ser. Sci.Math. Astr. Phys.*, 19:319–320, 1971.

[12] Ronnie Pavlov and Michael Schraudner. Entropies realizable by block gluing zd subshifts of finite type. *Journal d'Analyse Mathématique*, 126(1):113–174, 2015.

[13] B Robert. Ash. information theory, 1990.

[14] Hartley Rogers, Jr. *Theory of Recursive Functions and Effective Computability*. MIT Press, Cambridge, MA, USA, 1987.

[15] Jakob Grue Simonsen. On the computability of the topological entropy of subshifts. *Discrete mathematics and Theoretical computer science*, 8, 2006.

[16] Christoph Spandl. Computing the topological entropy of shifts. *Mathematical Logic Quarterly*, 53(4-5):493–510, 2007.

[17] Xizhong Zheng and Klaus Weihrauch. The arithmetical hierarchy of real numbers. In *Proceedings of the 24th International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*, MFCS '99, pages 23–33, London, UK, UK, 1999. Springer-Verlag.